## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

May 13, 1997 LB 216, 420

think we have a num...and then I see LB 420. Members of the Legislature, there's going to be more than enough to keep me busy, not only the rest of the afternoon,...

SENATOR COORDSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...but the rest of the session. However, to come back to this one bill, all that is involved is \$1,100,000. And, Senator Suttle, I have to address a comment to you, 'cause you do look and pay attention occasionally. I assure you that there's no judge, there's no particular court, you know, like the county, district, or whatever that I'm interested in, it's a generalized philosophical position that I'm arguing for here today. If we got rid of 216, that would...well, obviously, that would end all the talk that I would do on 216, because it wouldn't be there anymore. But consider the relatively small amount of money involved for the good that can be done, and yet look at all these monstrous amounts of money that have been tentatively agreed to by the Legislature.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Time. Senator Chambers, there are no other lights on, did you.... Oh, Senator Chambers, to speak to your motion, your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I thought that was my second time. How many times have I spoken on this?

SENATOR COORDSFN: That was your opening, this is your first time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, okay. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Really didn't want to tell you that, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I should have asked. But then it occurred to me that maybe that was my opening. Time flew so fast on that one 'cause I was having so much fun. What I think after this session is over is that people will look back to these days and wonder why, why. And they won't have a sensible answer. Can I upset you so much that you'll agree to fall into my sack? This is an easy bill, Senator Elmer, I'll mention you, 'cause you're